



Peter Brinton <peterbrinton@utah.gov>

Cricket Mountain amendment

Mon, Nov 18, 2013 at 3:52 PM

I agree, no additional bonding should be required for the additional <5 acre disturbance for topsoil stockpiling.

I realized in hindsight that I didn't give you and John much information to work from. Cricket Mountain posted additional bond in order to meet their longer-term mine plan bonding requirements (increased from about \$3.8 million to \$5.1 million) that will allow them to increase their disturbance by several hundred acres. Graymont will not disturb anywhere near the newly-bonded acres before posting any additional surety for the next periodic bond adjustment, which is required by May 2, 2014.

Given the minor scale of their amendment to add topsoil pile acreage (2 acres) in relation to the entire disturbance, and since there will be excess bond available to cover the 2 acres until the next bond adjustment by May 2, 2014, and as discussed on the phone, my inclination is to not require additional bond for the additional 2 acres at this time.

Do you concur that no additional bond is needed at this time?

Thanks.

Peter

On Mon, Nov 18, 2013 at 7:13 AM, Andrew Bedingfield <abedingfield@utah.gov> wrote:

Peter.

In response to the proposed amendment to the NOI for the Cricket Mountain Mine, please increase the bond by the appropriate acreage as per the proposed amendment.

Andy Bedingfield, PE SITLA 675 East 500 South, Suite 500 Salt Lake City, UT 84102-2818 (801) 538-5158

Peter Brinton
Environmental Scientist/Engineer II
Utah Division of Oil, Gas & Mining
Office Phone: 801-538-5258

Days: M - Th, Every Other Friday

Hours: 7:30am - 5:00pm

Andy Bedingfield, PE SITLA 675 East 500 South, Suite 500 Salt Lake City, UT 84102-2818 (801) 538-5158